

June 27, 1928.

Mrs. Mary Elegante,
Box 357,
Helper, Utah.

Dear Madam:

RE: SPRING CANYON DIST.

I have your letter of June 25 with reference to distribution troubles on Spring Canyon and beg to advise you that this matter has been almost continuously before this office for over two years. Numerous trips have been made by Commissioner Wayman in an effort to have the respective rights observed, I have made at least one visit to Spring Canyon, there has been a careful survey made by a representative of this office, and the matter has been discussed at length with your attorney Mr. S. A. King.

In view of all the foregoing I have come to the conclusion that the most important consideration down at Spring Canyon is the quarrel between yourself and the Demarcos' and that the keeping up of this quarrel is intended irrespective of the rights.

The investigation made by my representative together with the survey indicates that the respective rights can be definitely defined. In order to distribute those rights I have authority to appoint a water commissioner and if necessary will do so. The experience of the last two years indicates that if a commissioner is appointed he will have to be a special deputy looking solely after Spring Canyon and apparently stay on guard most of the time. The law provides that the expense of such a commissioner must be borne by the water users on a schedule established by myself and I would estimate the cost of such service for the balance of the season at about \$750.00.

The question for you to decide is whether or not you will divide the water according to the rights peacefully with the Demarcos or wish to pay your share of \$750.00 to have a commissioner represent me on the ground.

Yours very truly,

State Engineer.

GMB/E